Class Summary (Hind paper) 12-11-2001 ssues ■ Terminology - the literature has way to many ways of saying the same thing. Metrics - it is remarkably difficult to tell how good a given analysis really is. Reproduction of Results - repeating the results of others is apparently frowned on and not considered worth publishing. This probably exacerbates the previos two problems. □ pen □ ues□i□ns ■ □ talability - different methods can have very different worst case times □but even those aren Ealways accurate for real applications. ■ Improving Idecision - not all analyses need to be that precise. There is also the ☐ lestion of how much more precision benefits us in reality.

□ pen □ ues⊡□ns (□□n□)	-
■ □ustomi □dd □halysis - how we answer the previous two □uestions depends on exactly what we are trying to do.	
■ □bw and □ontext □ensitivity - what do they really gain for us and do we really need them all the time□	
■ Leap Modeling - this seems like something we really need to do lespecially with languages like lava but it doesn la	
scale well.	
□ pen □ ues⊡□ns (□□n□□2)	
■ Modeling	
aggregates. That might depend on the language.	
■ □emand □riven □halysis - don □do it until you need to.	
■ ☑ava and ☐☐3 - little work has been done in this field so far.	
■ ☐complete ☐ograms - conservative assumptions	
□ □ □e □ i □es □□ Class and	
□is□ussi□n	-
■ This course was on what might seem like an esoteric sublect. □ you have some	
appreciation for why we care about it□ □ □hat do you view as the main oblectives	
for pointer analysis in the next decade□	
□ □b □ctives - how well were they met □ □ □ ve you an introduction to pointer analysis.	
□ orce you to read higher level papers and	