Administrivia

• (None.)

Slide 1

Propositional Logic Versus Predicate Logic

- In propositional logic:
 - Wffs are true or false, depending on assignment of truth values to statement letters.
 - If a wff is true for all such assignments, "tautology" always true.
 - Can show this by checking all cases (truth table).
- In predicate logic:
 - Wffs are true or false (or neither, if they have free variables), depending on "interpretation" (domain plus meanings for predicates and constants).
 - If a wff is true for all such interpretations, "valid" always true.
 - Cannot show this by checking all cases.

Valid Arguments, Revisited

As with propositional logic, we want to know when we can say that a
conclusion "logically follows" from a set of hypotheses — i.e., no matter what
interpretation we choose, if the hypotheses are true so is the conclusion.

- What we have in our "bag of tricks":
 - All propositional-logic rules.
 - New rules for manipulating quantifiers.

Universal Instantiation

- ullet Rule for removing \forall . (Why do we want to do this?)
- \bullet If we have $(\forall x)P(x)$ we can write P(t) provided t doesn't already exist "bound" in P(x).

• "If P(x) for all x, then P(t) for a particular t".

Slide 4

Existential Instantiation

- Rule for removing \exists . (Why do we want to do this?)
- If we have $(\exists x)P(x)$ we can write P(t) provided t has not been previously used in the proof.

Slide 5

ullet "If there is some x for which P(x), we can give it a name — t, for example."

Universal Generalization

- Rule for introducing \forall . (Why do we want to do this?)
- $\bullet \ \mbox{ If we have } P(x)$ we can write $(\forall x)P(x)$

Slide 6

provided x is "arbitrary" — not a free variable in a hypothesis, not a variable we got from ei, not a free variable in a formula we got from ei. (For last part, consider example at bottom of p. 49.)

(Yes, this is tricky to understand/apply.)

- $\bullet\,$ "If we know P(x) for arbitrary x, then P(x) for all x. "
- Review problem 9 section 1.4.

Existential Generalization

- $\bullet\,$ Rule for introducing $\exists.$ (Why do we want to do this?)
- If we have P(y) or P(a) we can write $(\exists x)P(x)$ provided x doesn't appear in P(a).

Slide 7

- "If we have some particular z for which P(z), then there exists a z such that $P(z).\mbox{\tt "}$

Examples

• Section 1.4 problems 7 and 9. (If time permits.)

Minute Essay

- $\bullet \ \ \mathsf{None} \mathsf{quiz}.$
- (Quiz solution will usually be on Web shortly after class.)