## Administrivia

 Notice that my slides will be available linked from the "lecture topics and assignments" Web page, usually fairly soon after class. Usually there will be at least a preliminary version available before class as well.

• It will probably help to bring the textbook to class most days.

#### Slide 1

# Minute Essay From Last Lecture

Question: What have you liked/disliked about previous math courses?
Answers indicate that people like/dislike different things! some people really liked calculus (or trig, or geometry), some hated it; some like math, some only if it has applications; some like proofs, some hate them.

Some mentioned wanting to learn what "discrete structures" are. Maybe a better name for the course would be "discrete math" or "discrete math for computing".

Some mentioned graphs. Notice that "graphs" in this course are not "graphs of functions".

 Programming background not an explicit prerequisite, but will help with some topics.

# Why Study Propositional Logic?

• Because it's conceptually related to Boolean algebra (used in programming, circuit design, etc.).

- Because it's related to proofs, which you should know a bit about.
- As an example of a "formal system" represent something symbolically, define and apply rules for manipulating symbols, etc. Other examples in automata theory, theory of databases, etc.
- Because when you ask Dr. Theory (Myers) what you should learn in this course, he says "logic, logic, logic, logic!"
- Because after logic, the rest of the course will (probably) seem easy!

# Propositional Logic — The Big Picture

- Underlying many fields is a notion of "valid argument", one thing "following logically" from another — math, science, law, etc. (Consider example at the start of chapter 1.)
- Can define precisely what this means using natural language, but it's difficult and clumsy.
- If we use mathematical notation instead, it's easier to produce/follow chains of reasoning.
  - (Analogous to "word problems" in algebra the idea is to turn something that's clumsy to work with into mathematical symbols, operate on the symbols with well-defined math, and translate the result back into words.)
- Emphasis in this course is on the logic/math part rather than on translating real-world English into symbols.

Slide 3

# Statements / Propositions

• Definition — something (in natural language, a sentence) that is either true or false. (We might not know which.)

- · Which of these are statements?
  - Water is wet.
  - Water is not wet.
  - Is the sky blue on Venus?
  - There is life on Mars.
- ullet Notational convention A, B, C, etc., are statements.

## Connectives

- Can build up more complicated statements by combining simpler ones, using "connectives" each has intuitive meaning, formal definition.
- $\bullet$  "and" connective pretty clear  $A \ \wedge \ B$  defined by truth table
- $\bullet$  "or" connective also pretty clear  $A \ \lor \ B$  defined by truth table Notice that this is "inclusive or" not always the same as what we mean by "or" in natural language.
- ullet "not" connective also pretty clear  $A^\prime$  defined by truth table
- $\bullet$  "implies" connective is trickier  $A \ \to \ B$  defined by truth table Why define it that way? Stay tuned  $\dots$
- "is equivalent to" connective also pretty clear  $A \ \leftrightarrow \ B$  defined by truth table

Slide 5

# Why Did We Define Implication That Way?

- ullet Definition of  $A \to B$  when A is true seems reasonable, right?
- When A is false, though why say  $A \rightarrow B$  is true?
  - "Benefit of the doubt" argument: We have to call it either true or false, and it's not obviously false.
  - "It's math" argument: Maybe this definition doesn't express some fundamental truth. But in some sense math is its own universe, and we can define things any way we want (though we hope the definitions fit together in a nice way and maybe have applications).
    - (In fact, some treatments of propositional logic just define implication formally, in terms of other connectives, and don't try to justify it.)

## Compound Statements / Well-Formed Formulas

• Natural-language equivalents of statements joined by connectives:

combination of statement letters, connectives, and parentheses.

- Water is wet and grass is green.
- If Jo(e) is a CS major, Jo(e) must take this course.
- We can "nest" connectives, e.g.,  $(A \wedge B)'$ .
- We can define a notion of "well-formed formula" (wff) based on this (formal definition should be recursive, and we'll do that later) — basically, a "sensible"
- Notational convention  $P, Q, \dots$  for wffs.
- We can use truth tables to figure out truth values for wffs. (How many rows do we need?) Let's do an example . . .

Slide 7

# Compound Statements, Continued

• As an example, let's try turning the example at the start of chapter 1 into formulas, using the following:

 ${\cal A}$  is "The client is guilty."

B is "The knife was in the drawer."

 ${\cal C}$  is "Jason P. saw the knife."

 ${\cal D}$  is "The knife was there on Oct. 10."

 ${\cal E}$  is "The hammer was in the barn."

 And then we hope we can somehow use the formulas to help us decide whether the conclusion follows from the premises.

## **More Definitions**

- Some wffs are always true "tautologies". Examples?
- $\bullet\,$  Some wffs are always false "contradictions". Examples?
- $\bullet$  We can talk about two wffs P and Q being "equivalent"  $P \leftrightarrow Q$  is a tautology.

Write  $P \Leftrightarrow Q$ .

Table of common equivalences on p. 8.

Additional widely-used equivalences — "De Morgan's Laws" (p. 9).

Slide 10

# **Propositional Formulas in Other Contexts**

- Notice similarities between the connectives here and
  - Boolean expressions in programming languages.
  - Expressions for "advanced search" in some search engines, database queries, etc.

#### Slide 11

• Can use rules we have so far to simplify such expressions.

# Minute Essay

- Part 1:
  - Why are you taking this course? CS major, CS minor, common curriculum?
  - Do you have any programming background?

#### Slide 12

• Part 2:

Suppose we have

- ${\cal W}$  is "Water is wet."
- L is "There is life on Mars."

Write as wffs the following:

- "Water is wet and there is life on Mars."
- "There is life on Mars if water is wet."

# Minute Essay Answer

(For part 2):

• "Water is wet and there is life on Mars.":

 $W \, \wedge \, L$ 

• "There is life on Mars if water is wet.":

 $W \rightarrow L$