





Analysis of Algorithms — Review/Recap
Often useful to be able to estimate algorithm's execution time as a function of "problem size".
Customary to skip over housekeeping operations and count only "important stuff" — arithmetic operations, comparisons, etc.
Useful in comparing efficiency of different algorithms for same problem. Also useful in determining feasibility of single algorithm. (E.g., something that requires evaluating N! possibilities will not be practical for large N.)





Analysis of Algorithms, Longer Example Continued
• We could also express this recursively:
 double exp(double a, int b) {
 if (b == 1)
 return a;
 else
 return a * exp(a, b-1);
 }
 Does this work? (Yes. Why?)
• How to figure out how many multiplications? Define and solve a recurrence
 relation.

Analysis of Algorithms, Longer Example Continued
• We could also express this recursively another way:
double exp(double a, int b) {
 if (b == 1)
 return a;
 else {
 double temp = exp(a, b/2);
 if (b % 2 == 0) return temp * temp;
 else return temp * temp * a;
 }
 Does this work? (Yes. Why?)
• How to figure out how many multiplications? Define and solve a recurrence
 relation. (For now do this only for b a power of 2.)





Analysis of Algorithms and "Big-Oh" Notation

- Often useful to further approximate time for algorithm using "order of magnitude" of function — e.g., O(n), O(n²).
- We will talk about this more later (chapter on functions), but for now idea is that all O(g(n)) algorithms are bounded above, for large n, by a multiple of g(n), so they all have similar behavior as n increases.

Slide 11

Slide 12

Proving Program Correctness — Preview Once you've written a program, want to have some confidence that "it works". What do you mean "it works"? Informally? Formally, "meets its specification" (more later). How do you show it works? As a grad-school colleague wrote: To reduce the number of errors in a program, or to increase one's confidence in a program, one can *test* the program on a given test suite. If the program is observed to behave correctly for these test cases, the program is shipped to the customer. One then hopes there will be other cases that customers try for which the program also behaves correctly. Is there another way to "increase your confidence" in the program? "Formal methods" ...





