





A Bit About Assembly Language Syntax
Syntax for high-level languages can be complex. Allows for good expressivity, but translation into processor instructions is complicated.
Syntax for assembly language, in contrast, is very simple. Less expressivity but much easier to translate into (binary form of) instructions.







Example
Suppose we have this in C
f = (g + h) - (i + j)
• What instructions should compiler produce? Assume we're using \$s0 for f \$s1 for g, \$s2 for h, \$s3 for i, \$s4 for j.
(Symbolic register names starting $\$s$ are used for for slightly longer-term storage than the ones starting $\$t$.)
(Where do values come from? Next topic)

Slide 8













Minute Essay• Write MIPS assembly code for the following C program fragment:a = b + c + d + eAssume we have b, c, d, e in \$s1 through \$s4 and want to have a in \$s0Optional: Can you think of more than one way to do it? If you can, does one seem better than the other, and why?OR• Write MIPS assembler code to exchange the values of a [0] and a [1].Assume register \$s0 contains the address of a (start of the array), and a is an array of integers.

	Minute Essay Answer
	One way:
	add \$s0, \$s1, \$s2
	add \$s0, \$s0, \$s3
	add \$s0, \$s0, \$s4
And	Another way (not as good since uses more registers?):
Slide 16	add \$t0, \$s1, \$s2
	add \$t1, \$s3, \$s4
	add \$s0, \$t0, \$t1
	One way:
	lw \$t0, 0(\$s0)
	lw \$t1, 4(\$s0)
	sw \$t0, 4(\$s0)
	sw \$t1, 0(\$s0)