## Administrivia

• (None.)

Slide 1

# Why Review History?

- To understand roots/development of current operating systems.
- As a way of getting many perspectives on "what do we want an o/s to do, and how do we make it do that?"
- Because history is intrinsically interesting? Try to imagine what using some of those early machines might have been like.
- (To allow the instructor to relive the days of his/her youth?)

# The Early Days (1940s)

- Programming done by making physical connections on a plugboard (!).
- Better than no computer at all, but tedious and inefficient!
- Example: the ENIAC (picture here).

Slide 3

## The Early Days (1940s - 1950s)

- Key improvements: stored-program concept, punch cards.
- Programming done by encoding machine language into cards.
- Program included code to start up computer, read rest of program into memory, do all input and output, etc. (no operating system).
- One program at a time, machine operated by programmer.
- Better, but still tedious and inefficient!

#### The Early Days (1950s)

 Key improvements: assemblers and compilers, libraries of commonly-used code, specialists to run machine (operators).

- Programming done in assembly language (or early high-level language), punched into cards.
- Separate steps to translate to machine language, execute.
- One program at a time, but machine operated by specialist.
- Less tedious, less inefficient.
- Still cumbersome for programmers, CPU idle between steps.

# Batch Systems (1950s)

- Key improvement: "batch" idea automate transitions between steps (translate program, execute, translate next program, etc.).
- How to make this work? separate input by "control cards", write primitive operating system to interpret them, manage transitions.
- Less inefficient, but I/O devices slow, so CPU idle a lot still one program at a time.
- Still cumbersome for programmers punch program into cards, give to operator, wait for output.

Slide 5

#### Control Cards — Example

```
//jobname JOB acctno, name, ....
//stepname EXEC PGM=compiler_name,PARM=(options)
//STEPLIB DD
                DSNAME=path_for_compiler
//SYSUT1
           DD
                UNIT=SYSDA,SPACE=(subparms)
//SYSPRINT DD
                SYSOUT=A
//SYSLIN
          DD
                DSNAME=object_code, UNIT=SYSDA,
                DISP=(MOD, PASS), SPACE=(subparms)
//SYSIN
           DD
source code
//stepname EXEC PGM=load-and-go
.... input data for program ....
```

#### Multiprogramming Systems (1960s – ?)

- Key improvement: "multiprogramming" more than one program in memory, so when one has to wait another can run.
- How to make this work? requires much more complex operating system must share memory and I/O devices among programs, switch between them, etc.

Slide 8

- Efficient use of hardware.
- Still cumbersome for programmers no real changes here.
- Example: IBM mainframe (picture <u>here</u>, 1964); keypunch machine (picture <u>here</u>); card reader/punch (picture <u>here</u>); line printer (picture <u>here</u>); disk drive with removable disk (picture <u>here</u>).

# Timesharing Systems (1960s - ?)

• Key improvements: "interactive" users (using text terminals), utility programs to support them (shells, text editors, etc.).

- How to make this work? like multiprogramming, but now programs sharing memory are interactive users wanting fast response.
- Efficient use of hardware.
- Much less cumbersome for program development!
- Example: IBM terminals (picture here, late 1970s).

## Personal Computers (1980s - ?)

- Similar evolution of operating systems initially very simple, gradually becoming more complex/capable.
- Features from mainframes adopted as hardware permitted.
- A key difference emphasis on user convenience rather than efficient use of hardware.

Slide 10

# **Evolution of Operating Systems, Recap**

- Increasing hardware capability.
- Increasing o/s functionality and complexity from simple program loader to complex multitasking system.

Slide 11

• Parallels between evolution of mainframe o/s and PC o/s. (Similar evolution may be happening with o/s for "smart phones"?)

# Minute Essay

• What's the most primitive and/or cumbersome system you've personally used to write programs?