





Page Replacement Algorithms — Recap

- Nice summary in textbook (table at end of section 3.4).
- Tanenbaum says best choices are aging, WSClock.
- Now move on to other issues to consider



Global Versus Local Allocation In deciding which page to replace, consider all pages ("global allocation"), or just those that belong to the current process ("local allocation")? Generally, global approach works better, but not all page replacement algorithms can work that way (e.g., WSClock). Hybrid strategy — combine local approach with some way to vary processes' allocations.



One More Design Issue

• Page replacement algorithms as discussed all seem based on the idea that we let memory fill up, and then "steal" page frames as needed. Is that really the best way ...

Slide 8

 An alternative — background process ("paging daemon") that tries to keep a supply of free page frames, or at least ones that can be stolen without needing to write out their contents. Can use algorithms similar to page replacement algorithms to do this.







Processing Memory References — Page Fault Interrupt Handler Is page on disk or invalid (based on entry in process table, or other o/s data structure)? If invalid, error — terminate process. Is there a free page frame? If not, choose one to steal. If it needs to be saved to disk, start I/O to do that. Update process table, PTE, etc., for "victim" process. Block process until I/O done.

- Start I/O to bring needed page in from swap space (or zero out new page). If I/O needed, block process until done.
- Update process table, etc., for process that caused the page fault, and restart it at instruction that generated page fault.
- Slide 12



Sharing Pages and fork
Duplicating pages is easy but inefficient, especially if the child process is going to call execve or something similar right away. Some systems use "copy-on-write" to improve efficiency.
Why did the people who designed UNIX require this duplication ... Possibly because it makes some things easy (such as setting up parent/child pipes) and wasn't very costly when designed. Windows's system call for creating processes takes a different approach. Maybe that's better!



Shared Libraries

 One attraction is somewhat obvious — if code for library functions (e.g., printf) is statically linked into every program that uses it, programs need more memory — seems wasteful if processes can share one copy of code in memory.

- Another attraction is that library code can be updated independently of programs that use it. (Is there a downside to that?)
- How to make this happen ... At link time, programs get "stub" versions of functions. References to real versions resolved at load time. Does this remind you of anything? and suggest a possible problem? how to fix?



Slide 18

Memory-Mapped File I/O Worth mentioning here that some systems also provide a mechanism (e.g., via system calls) to allow reading/writing whole files into/from memory. If there's enough memory, this could improve performance. Example of how this works in Linux — man page for mmap.















