Administrivia

- Reminder: Quiz 3 Wednesday.
- Homework 3 to be on the Web early tomorrow. To be due following Wednesday.

Slide 1

Thrashing and Load Control

- Minute essay last time asks about why fewer page faults are good. Most people understood why. A related point . . .
- What happens if combined working sets of all processes don't fit into memory? "Thrashing".

Slide 2

• What to do? temporarily "swap out" some processes, or other forms of "load control".

Page Replacement Algorithms — Recap

- Nice summary in textbook (table at end of section 3.4).
- Tanenbaum says best choices are aging, WSClock.
- (A correction: Apparently inverted page tables *are* used, now that many systems are "64-bit".)

Slide 3

Paging — Operating System Versus MMU

- ullet Some aspects of paging are dealt with by hardware (MMU) translation of program addresses to physical addresses, generation of page faults, setting of R and M bits.
- Other aspects need o/s involvement. What/when?

Paging — Operating System Involvement

Process creation requires setting up page tables and other data structures.
 Process termination requires freeing them.

- Context switches require changing whatever the MMU uses to find the current page table.
- And of course it's the operating system that handles page faults!
- Some details ...

Processing Memory References — MMU

- Does cache contain data for (virtual) address? If so, done.
- Does TLB contain matching page table entry? If so, generate physical address and send to memory bus.
- Does page table entry (in memory) say page is present? If so, put PTE in TLB and as above.
- If page table entry says page not present, generate page fault interrupt.

 Transfers control to interrupt handler.

Slide 5

Processing Memory References — Page Fault Interrupt Handler

• Is page on disk or invalid (based on entry in process table, or other o/s data structure)? If invalid, error — terminate process.

Is there a free page frame? If not, choose one to steal. If it needs to be saved to disk, start I/O to do that. Update process table, PTE, etc., for "victim" process. Block process until I/O done.

- Start I/O to bring needed page in from swap space (or zero out new page). If
 I/O needed, block process until done.
- Update process table, etc., for process that caused the page fault, and restart it at instruction that generated page fault.

Processing Memory References — Details Still To Fill In

- How to keep track of pages on disk.
- How to keep track of which page frames are free.
- How to "schedule I/O" (but that's later).

Slide 8

Keeping Track of Pages on Disk

 To implement virtual memory, need space on disk to keep pages not in main memory. Reserve part of disk for this purpose ("swap space"); (conceptually) divide it into page-sized chunks. How to keep track of which pages are where?

Slide 9

- One approach give each process a contiguous piece of swap space.
 Advantages/disadvantages?
- Another approach assign chunks of swap space individually.
 Advantages/disadvantages?
- Either way processes must know where "their" pages are (via page table and some other data structure), operating system must know where free slots are (in memory and in swap space).

Paging — Other Design Issues/Choices

- Demand paging versus prepaging.
- Global versus local allocation.
- "Paging daemon" that tries to keep a supply of free page frames.

Slide 10

What to do if page to be replaced is waiting for I/O. probably trouble if we
replace it anyway. (Solutions include "locking" pages, or doing all I/O to o/s
pages and then moving data to user pages.)

Modeling Page Replacement Algorithms

 Intuitively obvious that more memory leads to fewer page faults, right? Not always!

- Counterexample "Belady's anomaly", sparked interest in modeling page replacement algorithms.
- Modeling based on simplified version of reality one process only, known inputs. Can then record "reference string" of pages referenced.
- Given reference string, p.r.a., and number of page frames, we can calculate number of page faults.
- How is this useful? can compare different algorithms, and also determine if a
 given algorithm is a "stack algorithm" (more memory means fewer page
 faults).

Sharing Pages

- Shared pages can be useful, but can also present problems.
- Multiple processes running the same program is relatively easy (why?) but has one potential downside (what?)
- UNIX fork system call is interesting in this context. POSIX definition says that child process's address space is basically a copy of the parent's address space. What's the easy-to-implement way to do this? What downside does that have in current systems? Is there a way to reduce its impact? And why duplicate in the first place?

Slide 11

Sharing Pages and fork

 Duplicating pages is easy but inefficient, especially if the child process is going to call execve or something similar right away. Some systems use "copy-on-write" to improve efficiency.

 Why did the people who designed UNIX require this duplication ... Possibly because it makes some things easy (such as setting up parent/child pipes) and wasn't very costly when designed. Windows's system call for creating processes takes a different approach. Maybe that's better!

Slide 13

Sharing Pages, Continued

- One use for shared pages is multiple processes running the same program.
- What about sharing code at a level below whole programs (UNIX "shared libraries", Windows DLLs)? Seems attractive; are there potential problems?

Shared Libraries

 One attraction is somewhat obvious — if code for library functions (e.g., printf) is statically linked into every program that uses it, programs need more memory — seems wasteful if processes can share one copy of code in memory.

Slide 15

- Another attraction is that library code can be updated independently of programs that use it. (Is there a downside to that?)
- How to make this happen ... At link time, programs get "stub" versions of functions. References to real versions resolved at load time. Does this remind you of anything? and suggest a possible problem? how to fix?

Shared Libraries, Continued

- Downside of replacing shared libraries may break applications that call their function. UNIX provides a way around this.
- Resolving references to shared code at load time finer-grained version of "relocation problem", no? and fixable by making sure library contains only "position-independent code".

Memory-Mapped File I/O

 Worth mentioning here that some systems also provide a mechanism (e.g., via system calls) to allow reading/writing whole files into/from memory. If there's enough memory, this could improve performance.

• Example of how this works in Linux — man page for mmap.

Slide 17

One More Memory Management Strategy — Segmentation

- Idea make program address "two-dimensional" / separate address space into logical parts. So a virtual address has two parts, a segment and an offset.
- To map virtual address to memory location, need "segment table", like page table except each entry also requires a length/limit field. (So this is like a cross between contiguous-allocation schemes and paging.)

Segmentation, Continued

- Benefits?
 - Nice abstraction; nice way to share memory.
 - Flexible use of memory can have many areas that grow/shrink as required, not just heap and stack — especially if we combine with paging.

Slide 19

- Drawbacks?
 - External fragmentation possible (can offset by also paging).
 - More complex.
 - "Paging" in/out more complex issues similar to with contiguous-allocation.

Memory Management in Windows

- Apparently very complex, but basic idea is paging.
- Intraprocess memory management is in terms of code regions (some shared

 DLLs), data regions, stack, and area for o/s. "Virtual Address Descriptor"
 for each contiguous group of pages tracks location on disk, etc.

- Memory-mapped files can make I/O faster and allow processes to (in effect) share memory.
- Demand-paged, with six (!) background threads that try to maintain a store of free page frames. Page replacement algorithm is based on idea of working set.

Memory Management in UNIX/Linux

Very early UNIX used contiguous-allocation or segmentation with swapping.
 Later versions use paging. Linux uses multi-level page tables; details depend on architecture (e.g., three levels for Alpha, two for Pentium).

- Intraprocess memory management is in terms of text (code) segment, data segment, and stack segment. Linux reserves part of address space for o/s.
 For each contiguous group of pages, "vm_area_struct" tracks location on disk, etc.
- Memory-mapped files can make I/O faster and allow processes to (in effect) share memory.
- Demand-paged, with background process ("page daemon") that tries to maintain a store of free page frames. Page replacement algorithms are mostly variants of clock algorithm.

Minute Essay

- Another story from long ago: Once upon a time, a mainframe computer was running very slowly. The sysadmins were puzzled, until one of them noticed that one of the disk drives seemed to be very busy and asked "which disk are you using for paging?" The answer made everyone say "aha!" What was wrong (to make the system so slow)?
- Does anything like this still happen?

Slide 22

Minute Essay Answer

The disk being used for paging was the one that was very busy. So, mostly
likely the system was spending so much time paging ("thrashing") that it
wasn't able to get anything else done. Usually this means that the system
isn't able to keep up with active processes' demand for memory.

Slide 23

• Memory sizes have increased to a point where the odds aren't as good as they were. But a few years ago we did run into problems with the machines in one of the classrooms trying to run both Eclipse and a Lewis simulation, and then more recently with some of them attempting to run a background program that asked for memory than its author intended.