Slide 1

Administrivia

- Homework 2 deadline extended to Wednesday (except for optional programming problem, due Friday). Answers to written problems in hardcopy, please; answers to programming problem by e-mail.

Slide 2

Scheduling — Recap/Review

- Deciding what process to run next — scheduler/dispatcher, using "scheduling algorithm".
- When to make scheduling decisions?
  - When a new process is created.
  - When a running process exits.
  - When a process becomes blocked (I/O, semaphore, etc.).
  - After an interrupt.
- One possible decision — "go back to interrupted process" (e.g., after I/O interrupt). But there are other choices.

Slide 3

Aside — Terminology

- Discussion often in term of "jobs" — holdover from mainframe days, means "schedulable piece of work".
- Processes usually alternate between "CPU bursts" and I/O, can be categorized as "compute-bound" ("CPU-bound") or "I/O-bound".
- Scheduling can be "preemptive" or "non-preemptive".

Slide 4

Scheduler Goals By System Type

- For batch (non-interactive) systems, possible goals (might conflict):
  - Maximize throughput — jobs per hour.
  - Minimize turnaround time.
  - Maximize CPU utilization.

  Preemptive scheduling may not be needed.
- For interactive systems, possible goals:
  - Minimize response time.
  - Make response time proportional (to user's perception of task difficulty).

  Preemptive scheduling probably needed.
- For real-time systems, possible goals:
  - Meet time constraints/deadlines.
  - Behave predictably.

Slide 5

Scheduling Algorithms

- Many, many scheduling algorithms, ranging from simple to not-so-simple.
- Point of reviewing lots of them? notice how many ways there are to solve the same problem ("who should be next?"), strengths/weaknesses of each.

Slide 6

First Come, First Served (FCFS)

- Basic ideas:
  - Keep a (FIFO) queue of ready processes.
  - When a process starts or becomes unblocked, add it to the end of the queue.
  - Switch when the running process exits or blocks. (I.e., no preemption.)
  - Next process is the one at the head of the queue.
- Points to consider:
  - How difficult is this to understand, implement?
  - What happens if a process is CPU-bound?
  - Would this work for an interactive system?

Slide 7

Shortest Job First (SJF)

- Basic ideas:
  - Assume work is in the form of "jobs" with known running time, no blocking.
  - Keep a queue of these jobs.
  - When a process (job) starts, add it to the queue.
  - Switch when the running process exits (i.e., no preemption).
  - Next process is the one with the shortest running time.
- Points to consider:
  - How difficult is this to understand, implement?
  - What if we don't know running time in advance?
  - What if all jobs are not known at the start?
  - Would this work for an interactive system?
  - What's the key advantage of this algorithm?

Slide 8

Round-Robin Scheduling

- Basic ideas:
  - Keep a queue of ready processes, as before.
  - Define a "time slice" — maximum time a process can run at a time.
  - When a process starts or becomes unblocked, add it to the end of the queue.
  - Switch when the running process uses up its time slice, or it exits or blocks. (I.e., preemption allowed!)
  - Next process is the one at the head of the queue.
- Points to consider:
  - How difficult is this to understand, implement?
  - Would this work for an interactive system?
  - How do you choose the time slice?

Slide 9

Priority Scheduling

- Basic ideas:
  - Keep a queue of ready processes, as before.
  - Assign a priority to each process.
  - When a process starts (or, if we also allow for blocking, when it becomes unblocked), add it to the end of the queue.
  - Switch when the running process exits (or blocks), or possibly when a process starts. (I.e., preemption may be allowed.)
  - Next process is the one with the highest priority.
- Points to consider:
  - What happens to low-priority processes? (So, maybe we should change priorities sometimes?)
  - How do we decide priorities? (external considerations versus internal characteristics)

Slide 10

Shortest Remaining Time Next

- Basic idea — variant on SJF:
  - Assume that for each process (job), we know how much longer it will take.
  - Keep a queue of ready processes, as before; add to it as before.
  - Switch when the running process exits *or* a new process starts. (I.e., preemption allowed — requires recomputing time left for preempted process.)
  - Next process is the one with the shortest time left.
- Points to consider:
  - How does this compare with SJF?

Slide 11

Multiple-Queue Scheduling

- Basic idea — variant on priority scheduling:
  - Divide processes into "priority classes".
  - When picking a new process, pick one from the highest-priority class with ready processes.
  - Within a class, use some other algorithm to decide (round-robin, e.g.).
  - Optionally, periodically lower processes' priorities.

Slide 12

Some Other Scheduling Algorithms

- Guaranteed scheduling.

  "Guarantee" each process (of N) 1/N of the CPU cycles; (try to) schedule to make this true.

  Calculate, for each process, fraction of the time it has had the CPU in its lifetime, fraction it "should" have had; choose process for which actual time / entitled time is smallest.
- Lottery scheduling.

  Give each process one or more "lottery tickets" — more or fewer depending on its priority (so to speak); pick one at random to decide who's next.
- Fair-share scheduling.

  Factor in process's owner in deciding which process to pick. I.e., if two "equal" users, schedule processes such that user A's processes get about as much time as those of user B.

Slide 13

Scheduling in Real-Time Systems

- "Real-time system" — system in which events must ("hard real time") or should ("soft real time") be handled by some deadline. Often events to be handled are periodic, and we know how often they arrive and how long they take to process.
- Role of scheduler in such systems could be critical.
- An interesting question — sometimes getting everything scheduled on time is impossible (example?). If we know periodicity and time-to-handle of all types of events, can we decide this? (Yes — general formula in textbook; can be interesting to work through details.)
- Complex topic; see chapter 7 for more info.

Slide 14

Scheduling and Threads

- If system uses both processes and threads, we now possibly have an additional level of scheduling.
- Details depend on whether threads are implemented in user space or kernel space:
  - In user space — runtime system that manages them must do scheduling, and without the benefit of timer interrupts.
  - In kernel space — scheduling done at O/S level, so context switches are more expensive, but timer interrupts are possible, etc.

Slide 15

What Do Real Systems Use?

- Traditional UNIX: two-level approach (upper level to swap processes in/out of memory, lower level for CPU scheduling), using multiple-queue scheduling for CPU scheduling. See chapter 10 for details.
- Linux: facilities for soft real-time scheduling and "timesharing" scheduling, with the latter a mix of priority and round-robin scheduling. See chapter 10 for details. As of kernel version 2.6.23, replaced with "Completely Fair Scheduler", which sounds like what Tanenbaum calls "guaranteed scheduling".
- Windows NT/2000/Vista: multiple-queue scheduling of threads, with round-robin for each queue.
- MVS (IBM mainframe): three-level scheme with lots of options for administrator(s) to define complex policies.

Slide 16

One More Scheduling-Related Topic

- A question I used to use as homework:

  Recall that some proposed solutions to the mutual-exclusion problem (e.g., Peterson's algorithm) involve busy waiting. Do such solutions work if priority scheduling is being used and one of the processes involved has higher priority than the other(s)? Why or why not? How about if round-robin scheduling is being used? Why or why not? Notice that a process can be interrupted while in its critical region; if that happens, it is considered to still be in its critical region, and other processes wanting to be in their critical regions are supposed to busy-wait.

Slide 17

One More Scheduling-Related Topic, Continued

- Yes, with priority scheduling, a solution involving busy-waiting can fail ("priority inversion", in text). Not so with round-robin.

Slide 18

Sidebar — Simulating Scheduling Algorithms

- Can be helpful in understanding how these algorithms work to simulate what they do given a particular sequence of inputs.
- Example — batch system with the following jobs.

job ID	running time	arrival time
A	6	0
B	4	0
C	10	0
D	2	2

Asked to compute turnaround times for all jobs using FCFS, what would you do . . .

Slide 19

Evaluating Scheduling Algorithms

- How to decide which scheduling algorithm to use?
- One way — evaluate several choices, see which one best meets system goal(s). E.g., if the goal is minimum turnaround time, try to come up with an average turnaround time for each proposed choice.
- Several approaches possible . . . (This discussion is from another operating systems textbook, by Silberschatz and Galvin.)

Slide 20

Deterministic Modeling

- Idea — use a predetermined workload, compute values of interest (e.g., average turnaround time, as in Homework 3 problem).
- How well does it work?

Slide 21

Deterministic Modeling, Continued

- Simple, fast, gives exact numbers.
- Requires exact numbers as input, and only applies to them.

Slide 22

Queueing Models

- Idea — use "queueing theory" to model system as a network of "servers", each with a queue of waiting processes. (E.g., CPU is a server, with input queue of ready processes.)
- Input to model — distribution of process arrival times, CPU and I/O bursts for processes, as mathematical formulas. (Base this on measuring, approximating, or estimating.) In queueing-theory terms, "arrival rates" and "service rates".
- Queueing theory lets you then compute utilization, average queue length, average wait time, etc.
- How well does it work?

Slide 23

Queueing Models, Continued

- Seems more general than deterministic modeling.
- But can be tricky to set up model correctly, and need to approximate / make assumptions may be a problem.

Slide 24

Simulations

- Idea — program a model of the computer system, simulating everything, including hardware.
- Two ways to get input for simulation:
  - Generate processes, burst times, arrivals, departures, etc., using probability distributions and random-number generation.
  - Create “trace tape” from running system.
- How well does it work?

Slide 25

Simulations, Continued

- Potentially very accurate.
- Time-consuming to program and to run!

Slide 26

Implementation

- Idea — code it up and try it!
- How well does it work?

Slide 27

Implementation, Continued

- Seems like potentially the most accurate approach.
- Requires a lot of work, resources.
- Involves implicit assumption that users' behavior is fairly constant.

  (So it's good to build into the algorithm some parameters that can be changed at run time, by users and/or sysadmin. In textbook's phrase, "separate mechanism from policy". Notice, though, users are apt to figure out how to game any system.)

Slide 28

Recap — Scheduling Algorithms

- Main idea — decide which process to run next (when running process exits, becomes blocked, or is interrupted).
- Many possibilities, ranging from simple to complex. Real systems seem to use hybrid strategies.
- How to choose one?
  - Be clear on goals.
  - Maybe evaluate some possibilities to see which one(s) meet goals — analytic or experimental evaluation.
  - Build in some tuning knobs — "separate policy from mechanism".

Slide 29

Minute Essay

- Suppose you have a batch system with the following jobs.

job ID	running time	arrival time
A	6	0
B	4	0
C	10	0
D	2	2

Compute turnaround times for all jobs using SJF.

Slide 30

Minute Essay Answer

- Solution:

job ID	start time	stop time	turnaround time (SJF)
A	6	12	12
B	0	4	4
C	12	22	22
D	4	6	4