

Slide 1

### Administrivia

- Reminder: Homework 5 written problems due today.
- Homework 5 programming problems now on the Web (complete version); due next Monday.

Slide 2

### Minute Essay From Last Lecture

- Many people mentioned that the homework problems helped them understand material. Good! that's the goal.
- One person said "hadn't really thought about this stuff before". Also a good result? and one person said "made me think" — a *very* good result.

## Filesystem Implementation — Overview

Slide 3

- Last time we talked about many aspects of filesystem abstraction. After making decisions about what to implement — how?
- Recall(?) basic organization of disk:
  - Master boot record (includes partition table)
  - Partitions, each containing boot block and lots more blocks. Abstract view of access to disk is in terms of reading/writing specified block.
- How to organize/use those “lots more blocks”? Must keep track of which blocks are used by which files, which blocks are free, directory info, file attributes, etc., etc.  
Typically start with superblock containing basic info about filesystem, then some blocks with info about free space and what files are there, then the actual files.

## Implementing Files

Slide 4

- One problem is keeping track of which disk blocks belong to which files.
- No surprise — there are several approaches. (All assume some outside “directory”-type structure with some information about each file — a starting block, e.g.)

### Implementing Files — Contiguous Allocation

Slide 5

- Key idea — what the name suggests, much like analogous idea for memory management.
- How well does it work? consider simplicity, speed (both sequential and random access), possibility of fragmentation (wasted space).
- Widely used long ago, abandoned, but now maybe useful again.

### Implementing Files — Linked-List Allocation

Slide 6

- Key idea — organize each file's blocks as a linked list, with pointer to next block stored within block.
- How well does it work? consider simplicity, speed (both sequential and random access), possibility of fragmentation (wasted space).

### Implementing Files — Linked-List Allocation With Table In Memory

Slide 7

- Key idea — keep linked-list scheme, but use table in memory (File Allocation Table or FAT) for pointers rather than using part of disk blocks.
- How well does it work? consider simplicity, speed (both sequential and random access), possibility of fragmentation (wasted space).

### Implementing Files — I-Nodes

Slide 8

- Key idea — associate with each file a data structure (“index node” or i-node) containing file attributes and disk block numbers, keep in memory for “open” files.
- How well does it work? consider simplicity, speed (both sequential and random access), possibility of fragmentation (wasted space).

### Implementing Filesystems — File Attributes

- Another issue is where to keep file “attributes” (owner, timestamps, etc.).
- One way is to keep it in directory.
- Another way is to keep it elsewhere, e.g., in i-node.

Slide 9

### Filesystem Implementation — Directories

- Many things to consider here — whether to keep attribute information in directory, whether to make entries fixed or variable size, etc.
- If directory abstraction is basically hierarchical but allows some way of creating a non-tree directed graph, must figure out how to do that. Windows has “shortcuts”; UNIX has “hard links” (in which different directory entries point to a common structure describing the file) and “soft (symbolic) links” (in which the link is a special type of file).

Slide 10

### Virtual File Systems

Slide 11

- Apparently many possibilities for implementing filesystem abstraction, with the usual tradeoffs. Do we have to choose one, or can different types coexist?  
The latter . . .
- In Windows, having different filesystems on different logical drives is managed via drive letters.
- In UNIX, current approach is usually a “virtual file system” — basically, an extra layer of abstraction (remember the adage about how that can solve any programming problem).

### Log-Structured Filesystems

Slide 12

- Log-structured filesystem — *everything* is written to log, and only to log. That sounds impractical, but . . .
- Key idea is that these many disk reads are satisfied from cache anyway, and lots of small writes to disk give poor performance, so it makes more sense to just write (to cache) a log, and periodically save that to disk.
- Not used much, though, because incompatible with other file systems.  
Instead . . .

Slide 13

### Journaling Filesystems — Overview

- As we'll discuss later (and as you may know!) — O/S sometimes doesn't perform "write to disk" operations right away (caching).
- One result is likely improved performance. Another is potential filesystem inconsistency — operations such as "move a block from the free list to a file" are no longer atomic.
- Idea of journaling filesystem — do something so we *can* regard updates to filesystem as atomic.
- To say it another way — record changes-in-progress in log, when complete mark them "done".

Slide 14

### Journaling Filesystems, Continued

- Can record "data", "metadata" (directory info, free list, etc.), or both.
- "Undo logging" versus "redo logging":
  - Undo logging: First copy old data to log, then write new data (possibly many blocks) to disk. If something goes wrong during update, "roll back" by copying old data from log.
  - Redo logging: First write new data to log (i.e., record changes we're going to make), then write new data to disk. If something goes wrong during update, complete the update using data in log.
- A key benefit — after a system crash, we should only have to look at the log for incomplete updates, rather than doing a full filesystem consistency check. (This can save a *lot* of time!)

### Implementing Filesystems — Free Blocks

- Another issue is how to keep track of which blocks are free.
- More than one way . . .

Slide 15

### Managing Free Space — Free List

- One way to track which blocks are free: list of free blocks, kept on disk.
- How this works:
  - Keep one block of this list in memory.
  - Delete entries when files are created/expanded, add entries when files are deleted.
  - If block becomes empty/full, replace it.

Slide 16

Slide 17

### Managing Free Space — Bitmap

- Another way to track which blocks are free: “bitmap” with one bit for each block on disk, also kept on disk.
- How this works:
  - Keep one block of map in memory.
  - Modify entries as for free list.
- Usually requires less space.

Slide 18

### Filesystem Performance

- Access to disk data is much slower than access to memory — seek time plus rotational delay plus transfer time. (Well, for disks that rotate. Solid-state disks don't, but they have their own issues, e.g., limits on number of writes?)
- So, file systems include various optimizations . . .

### Improving Filesystem Performance — Caching

Slide 19

- Idea — keep some disk blocks in memory; keep track of which ones are there using hash table (base hash code on device and disk address).
- When cache is full and we must load a new block, which one to replace?  
Could use algorithms based on page replacement algorithms, could even do LRU accurately — though that might be wrong (e.g., want to keep data blocks being filled).
- When should blocks be written out?
  - If block is needed for file system consistency, could write out right away. If block hasn't been written out in a while, also could write out, to avoid data loss in long-running program.
  - Two approaches: "Write-through cache" (Windows) — always write out modified blocks right away. Periodic "sync" to write out (UNIX).

### Improving Filesystem Performance — Block Read-Ahead

Slide 20

- Idea — if file is being read sequentially, can read some blocks "ahead". (Of course, doesn't help if file is being read non-sequentially. Decide based on recent access patterns.)

### Improving Filesystem Performance — Reducing Disk Arm Motion

Slide 21

- Group blocks for each file together — easier if bitmap is used to keep track of free space. If not grouped together — “disk fragmentation” may affect performance.
- If i-nodes are being used, place them so they're fast to get to (and so maybe we can read an i-node and associated file block together).

### Disk Fragmentation

Slide 22

- Idea — if blocks that make up a file are (mostly) contiguous, faster to read them all. If not, “disk fragmentation”.
- How likely is disk fragmentation? Depends on filesystem, strategy for allocating space for files.
- “Defragmenter” utility can be run to correct it. Windows comes with one. Linux doesn't. The claim is that UNIX and Linux filesystems typically don't become fragmented unless the disk is close to full.

### Filesystems — Quotas

Slide 23

- Why have quotas? Disk space is cheap, right? yes, but more space used means more to back up, and on multi-user systems there are fairness issues, and the possibility that one careless user will negatively affect others.
- Implementation involves keeping track, for each user, of space used versus space allowed. Must be updated every time a file is changed/created/deleted. Some systems allow “grace period”, but eventually all will disallow, for user over quota, creation of new files or expansion of existing files.

### Filesystem Reliability — Consistency Checks

Slide 24

- Can easily happen that true state of filesystem is represented by a combination of what's on disk and what's in memory — a problem if shutdown is not orderly.
- Solution is a “fix-up” program (UNIX `fsck`, Windows `scandisk`). Kinds of checking we can do:
  - Consistency check: For each block, how many files does it appear in (treating free list as a file)? If other than 1, problem — fix it as best we can.
  - File consistency check: For each file, count number of links to it and compare with number in its i-node. If not equal, change i-node.
  - Etc., etc. — see text.

## Minute Essay

- Anything noteworthy about Homework 5?

Slide 25