

Slide 1













Scheduling Algorithms Many, many scheduling algorithms, ranging from simple to not-so-simple. Point of reviewing lots of them? notice how many ways there are to solve the same problem ("who should be next?"), strengths/weaknesses of each.

Slide 7



Shortest Job First (SJF)
• Basic ideas:
- Assume work is in the form of "jobs" with known running time, no blocking
 Keep a queue of these jobs.
 When a process (job) starts, add it to the queue.
 Switch when the running process exits (i.e., no preemption).
 Next process is the one with the shortest running time.
Points to consider:
– How difficult is this to understand, implement?
– What if we don't know running time in advance?
– What if all jobs are not known at the start?
– Would this work for an interactive system?
– What's the key advantage of this algorithm?

Slide 10



	Priority Scheduling		
Slide 12	 Basic ideas: Keep a queue of ready processes, as before. Assign a priority to each process. When a process starts (or, if we also allow for blocking, when it becomes unblocked), add it to the end of the queue. Switch when the running process exits (or blocks), or possibly when a process starts. (I.e., preemption may be allowed.) Next process is the one with the highest priority. Points to consider: What happens to low-priority processes? (So, maybe we should change priorities sometimes?) How do we decide priorities? (external considerations versus internal characteristics) 		

$\mathbf{6}$







time as those of user B.

Scheduling and Threads
If system uses both processes and threads, we now possibly have an additional level of scheduling.
Details depend on whether threads are implemented in user space or kernel space:

In user space — runtime system that manages them must do scheduling, and without the benefit of timer interrupts.
In kernel space — scheduling done at O/S level, so context switches are more expensive, but timer interrupts are possible, etc.



Sidebar — Simulating Scheduling Algorithms

• Can be helpful in understanding how these algorithms work to simulate what they do given a particular sequence of inputs.

• Example — batch system with the following jobs.

job ID	running time	arrival time	
А	6	0	
В	4	0	
С	10	0	
D	2	2	

Asked to compute turnaround times for all jobs using FCFS, what would you do . . .

Minute Essay • A question I used to use as homework: Recall that some proposed solutions to the mutual-exclusion problem (e.g., Peterson's algorithm) involve busy waiting. Do such solutions work if priority scheduling is being used and one of the processes involved has higher priority than the other(s)? Why or why not? How about if round-robin scheduling is being used? Why or why not? Note that a process can be interrupted while in its critical region; if that happens, it is considered to still be in its critical region, and other processes wanting to be in their critical regions are supposed to busy-wait.

Minute Essay Answer • Yes, with priority scheduling, a solution involving busy-waiting can fail ("priority inversion", in text). Not so with round-robin.

Slide 19