





File Abstraction
Many, many aspects of "file abstraction" — name, type, ownership, etc., etc. Most involve choices/tradeoffs.
In the following slides, a quick tour of some of the major ones, with some of the possible variations.



File Abstraction, Continued • File types — include "regular files", also directories and (in some systems, such as UNIX) "special files". Regular files subdivide into: - ASCII files - sequences of ASCII characters, generally separated into lines by line-end character(s). - Binary files - everything else, including executables, various archives, MS Slide 6 Word format, etc., etc. Most have some structure, defined by the expectations of the program(s) that work with them - applications for some types, operating system for executables. • File access — sequential versus random-access. • File attributes — "other stuff" associated with file (owner, protection info, time of creation / last use, etc.)



Directory/Folder Abstraction Basic idea — way of grouping / keeping track of files. Can be Single-level (simple but restrictive). Two-level (almost as simple, better than single-level if multiple users, but also restrictive). Hierarchical. Implies need for path names, which can be absolute or relative (to "working directory"). "Hierarchical" implies a tree structure, but one could include support for something to allow a more-general directed graph (more later). Might be useful as a way to easily share files among users. Operations on directories include create, delete, open, close, read, add entry, remove entry, link, unlink.



Implementing Files
One problem is keeping track of which disk blocks belong to which files.
No surprise — there are several approaches. (All assume some outside "directory"-type structure with some information about each file — a starting block, e.g.)









Slide 14



Filesystem Implementation — Directories
Many things to consider here — whether to keep attribute information in directory, whether to make entries fixed or variable size, etc.
If directory abstraction is basically hierarchical but allows some way of creating a non-tree directed graph, must figure out how to do that. Windows has "shortcuts"; UNIX has "hard links" (in which different directory entries point to a common structure describing the file) and "soft (symbolic) links" (in which the link is a special type of file).



Journaling Filesystems — Overview
As we'll discuss later (and as you may know!) — O/S sometimes doesn't perform "write to disk" operations right away (caching).
One result is likely improved performance. Another is potential filesystem inconsistency — operations such as "move a block from the free list to a file" are no longer atomic.
Idea of journaling filesystem — do something so we *can* regard updates to filesystem as atomic.
To say it another way — record changes-in-progress in log, when complete mark them "done".
A key benefit — after a system crash, only have to look at log for incomplete updates, rather than doing a full filesystem consistency check. (This can save a *lot* of time!)



Managing Free Space — Free List • One way to track which blocks are free: list of free blocks, kept on disk. • How this works: - Keep one block of this list in memory. - Delete entries when files are created/expanded, add entries when files are Slide 20 deleted. - If block becomes empty/full, replace it.







Improving Filesystem Performance — Block Read-Ahead

 Idea — if file is being read sequentially, can read some blocks "ahead". (Of course, doesn't help if file is being read non-sequentially. Decide based on recent access patterns.)

Slide 24



• Group blocks for each file together (easier if bitmap is used to keep track of free space). If not grouped together, "disk fragmentation" may affect performance.

Slide 25

• If i-nodes are being used, place them so they're fast to get to (and so maybe we can read an i-node and associated file block together).









Example Filesystems
Textbook describes several filesystems. Normally I talk in lecture about the first two (MS-DOS and UNIX V7).
But we have limited time, so — review next few slides and skim textbook discussion, please.

Example Filesystem — MS-DOS FS

• Filename restriction — eight-character name plus three-character extension. (!) (Textbook doesn't say this, but there are/were ways of faking longer names, basically by mapping longer names into inscrutable short-enough ones.)

Slide 31

- Directory entries contain filename, attributes, timestamp, size, and block number of first block. How are other blocks found? FAT (File Allocation Table).
- Various versions depending on how many bits used to store block number (FAT-12, FAT-16, FAT-32, though the last is apparently really FAT-28). Each defines a set of permitted block sizes, all multiples of 512K.
- Simple, which is good, but imposes limits on file size and partition size. Keeping entire FAT in memory could be a problem if it's big (depends on number of bits used for block number).









 As mentioned previously, many filesystems provide a mechanism for creating not-strictly-hierarchical relationships among files/folders. UNIX typically has two:

Slide 35

"Hard" links allow multiple directory entries to point to the same i-node. "Soft" (symbolic) links are a special type of file containing a pathname

• (Why two? Good question. Compare and contrast ...)



 Linux — default is now probably ext4, successor to ext2 and ext3 with journalling. Very much like UNIX V7 conceptually, though with support for much longer filenames. Other filesystems possible/supported, and support for accessing various Windows filesystems provided via Samba.

- Mac OS X ("macOS"?) Apple File System, externally pretty UNIX-like, possibly internal differences.
- Windows NTFS is default, support still provided for FAT-xx.

⁽absolute or relative).

Minute Essay
If you have a system that supports multiple different file systems (such as Linux with Samba to access Windows files), what problems might arise in copying files between different file systems?
(We had an interesting problem many years ago with backing up /users to an OS X machine because the default for OS X filesystems is case-insensitive.)

Slide 37

Minute Essay Answer

• Case sensitivity is one source of potential problems. Other potential problems include restrictions on what characters can appear in filenames and what notion of file ownership and permissions is supported.

Slide 38

 In general, if the two filesystems don't support exactly the same abstraction, problems could arise. It might seem that it could also be a problem if they implement the idea of files in different ways, but a good copy program should be able to cope with that.