

Multi-Level Feedback Queue (MLFQ) Scheduling — A Bit More


- Good turnaround time and good response time seem to pull in different directions. MLFQ tries to meet both goals.
- Starts out with two basic rules, like the ones I showed for Multi-Level Queue and then adds others: How do we set priorities initially? When should they be increased? When decreased? Textbook's presentation seems clear if long.
- One thing worth noting "voo-doo" constants. Term adopted from someone who taught the course the authors took on operating systems, but not a new idea. May be a general rule here — when the "right" or "best" value for something isn't clear, make it a parameter and let (future) sysadmin decide. Another way of separating mechanism from policy?

Proportional-Share Scheduling

- Lottery scheduling: Give each process "tickets", more for higher priority, and choose randomly. Surprising that this works, but similar approaches work in other contexts too (e.g., "Monte Carlo" in simulations).
- Stride scheduling: Similar idea with tickets, but choose in what I'd describe as weighted round robin.
- With both approaches, open question how many tickets to give each process.
- Linux CFS (Completely Fair Scheduler): Fairly detailed presentation. Not particularly important for its own sake (I say), but interesting as an example of how complicated a real-world scheduler can be. So many details!

Slide 3


Slide 4




Linux CFS, Continued
Allows users to affect priority with UNIX nice and renice commands, via complicated weighting scheme.
Tries to deal gracefully with unusual(?) cases, such as processes just waking up from being blocked.

Slide 6

3





4



- What stands out for about this group of chapters about virtualizing the CPU? Do you feel like there's something you understand now that you didn't before?
- Do you recognize the name Edsger Dijkstra (from one bibliography entry), and if so from what context? (Cultural(?) aside: You've heard the claim "goto considered harmful"? It's from a letter to the editor of *CACM* he wrote.)

Slide 9