



More Administrivia

Why are we using "my" book when there are books that are more textbook-like? because (1) I think it emphasizes the right things, which many textbooks don't, and (2) learning from a not-really-a-textbook and other resources should be good practice for whatever you do after you graduate. (I don't actually think I'm going to be able to retire on the extra royalty income — but it might be enough to finance a trip to Java City for the class?)
Also — if you spot errors, even typos, please let me know. The first person to report any legitimate error I don't know about is eligible for extra-credit points.

Slide 3

A Few Words About Computer Use in Class

- Checking your e-mail when you first get here is okay.
- Taking notes online is okay.
- Surfing the Web or playing games during lecture is not okay.

Slide 4

• Remember that I can lock all screens, project what's on one student's screen, etc. — and I will if need be. But I'd rather you'd all be responsible enough to resist this distraction!







How Much Calculating is "A Lot"?
Examples from computational biology — how many operations per second are needed to get things done fast enough to be useful?:

Sequence the genome — 10¹² ops/second (500 2-Gigahertz processors).
Protein/protein interactions — 10¹⁴ ops/second (25,000 4-Gigahertz processors).
Simulating whole-body response to a drug — 10¹⁶ operations/second (1,250,000 8-Gigahertz processors).

(Source — Intel's former life sciences industry manager.)



What Are Some Other Hard Problems?

- Crash simulation / structural analysis.
- Oil exploration.
- Explosion simulations (why Los Alamos is interested).

• Astrophysics simulations (e.g., Dr. Lewis's work on Saturn's rings).

- Slide 10
- Fluid dynamics.
- "Rendering" for computer-generated animation.
- And many others ...



Slide 11

Slide 12

Can't You Just Get a Faster Computer? Up to a point — yes. Moore's law predicts that processor speed and memory both double about every 1.5 years. Over 30 years, that's a factor of about a million! But … As you know — however fast processors are, it's never fast enough. Faster is more expensive, and price/performance is not constant. Eventually we'll run into physical limitations on hardware — speed of light limits how fast we can move data along wires (in copper, light moves 9 cm in a nanosecond — one "cycle" for a 1GHz processor), other factors limit how small we can make chips. (We may be there — cf. Intel's announcement last year.) Maybe we can switch to biological computers or quantum computers, but those are pretty big paradigm shifts …



But I Don't Want To Solve Problems Like Those! What if you aren't interested in solving problems like these "grand challenge" problems, Is there still a reason to be interested in parallel computing? The hardware is there, and it's becoming mainstream — multicore chips, hyperthreading, etc. (The Intel person says "the chip makers can put more and more transistors on a chip, and this is the best way to use that.") To get best use of it for single applications, will probably need parallelism. Also, for some applications, thinking of them as parallel/multithreaded can lead to a solution that lets you do something useful while waiting for I/O, etc.



Minute Essay
What are your goals for this course?
Are you reasonably comfortable with Java and C? How about C++?
Do you have any experience already with parallel or multithreaded programming? (If so, tell me about it, briefly.)
Will it be a problem for you if I assign homework that will be hard to do without access to our Linux lab machines?