CSCI 4320 September 18, 2003 CSCI 4320 September 18, 2003

Administrivia

• (I guess there's not any!)

Slide 1

Minute Essay From Last Lecture

- Do you see why the various solutions to the mutual exclusion problem so far work / don't work?
- Give an example (other than those discussed) of a situation in which you think
 a solution to this problem would be needed.

Slide 2

Recap — Mutual Exclusion Problem

· Pseudocode for all processes:

```
while (true) {
    do_cr();
    do_non_cr();
}
```

Slide 3

Slide 4

- Goal is to add something to this code such that:
- No more than one process at a time can be "in its critical region". Others are "blocked" — could include busy-waiting.
- 2. No process not in its critical region can block another process.
- 3. No process waits forever to enter its critical region.
- 4. No assumptions are made about how many CPUs, their speeds.

Mutual Exclusion Problem, Continued

- We looked at various solutions (some correct, some not) "concurrent algorithms".
- How to reason about concurrent algorithms(i.e., convince yourself it works)?
 Idea of "invariant" may be useful:
- Loosely speaking "something about the program that's always true". (If this reminds you of "loop invariants" in CS1323 — good.)
- Goal is to come up with an invariant that's easy to verify by looking at the code and implies the property you want (here, "no more than one process in its critical region at a time").
- We will do this quite informally, but it can be done much more formally mathematical "proof of correctness" of the algorithm.

CSCI 4320 September 18, 2003 CSCI 4320 September 18, 2003

Proposed Solution — Strict Alternation

• Shared variables:

```
int turn = 0;
Pseudocode for process p0:
while (true) {
   while (turn != 0);
   do_cr();
   turn = 1;
   do_non_cr();
}
Pseudocode for process p1:
while (true) {
   while (turn != 1);
   do_cr();
   turn = 0;
   do_non_cr();
}
```

 Proposed invariant: "If pn is in its critical region, turn has value n." (Might need to expand definition of "in its critical region" a bit.)

Proposed Solution — Peterson's Algorithm

Shared variables:

```
int turn = 0;  // "who tried most recently"
bool interested0 = false, interested1 = false;
```

Pseudocode for process p0: Pseudocode for process p1:

 Proposed invariant: "If p0 is in its critical region, interested0 is true and either interested1 is false or turn is 1" and similarly for p1.

Proposed Solution — TSL Instruction

· Shared variables:

int lock = 0

Slide 7

Slide 8

Pseudocode for each process:

return leave_cr: store 0 in lock return

Assembly-language routines:

 Proposed invariant: "lock is 0 exactly when there are no processes in their critical regions, and nonzero exactly when there is one process in its critical region."

Recap — Solutions So Far

- Peterson's algorithm works and requires no special hardware, but is inefficient ("busy-waiting").
- The algorithm using TSL works but requires special hardware and is inefficient.

Both are pretty low-level. Can we do better? in terms of both efficiency and ease of use?

Slide 6

Slide 5

CSCI 4320 September 18, 2003 CSCI 4320 September 18, 2003

Semaphores

- History 1965 paper by Dijkstra (possibly earlier work by Iverson).
- Idea define semaphore ADT:
- "Value" non-negative integer.
- Two operations, both atomic:
- * up (V) add one to value.
- * down (P) block until value is nonzero, then subtract one.
- Ignoring for now how to implement this is it useful?

Mutual Exclusion Using Semaphores

Shared variables:

semaphore S(1);

Pseudocode for each process:

```
while (true) {
   down(S);
   do_cr();
   up(S);
   do_non_cr();
```

• Does it work? Proposed invariant: "S has value 1 exactly when no process is in its critical region, 0 exactly when one process is in its critical region."

Bounded Buffer Problem

- Idea we have a buffer of fixed size (e.g., an array), with some processes ("producers") putting things in and others ("consumers") taking things out. Synchronization:
 - Only one process at a time can access buffer.
 - Producers wait if buffer is full.

Slide 11

Slide 12

- Consumers wait if buffer is empty.
- Example of use: print spooling (producers are jobs that print, consumer is printer — actually could imagine having multiple printers/consumers).

Bounded Buffer Problem, Continued

Shared variables:

```
buffer B(N); // initially empty, can hold N things
Pseudocode for producer:
                          Pseudocode for consumer:
while (true) {
                          while (true) {
                          item = get(B);
   item = generate();
                              use(item);
   put(item, B);
```

- Synchronization requirements:
- 1. At most one process at a time accessing buffer.
- 2. Never try to get from an empty buffer or put to a full one.
- 3. Processes only block if they "have to".

Slide 10

Slide 9

Bounded Buffer Problem, Continued

- We already know how to guarantee one-at-a-time access. Can we extend that?
- Three situations where we want a process to wait:
 - Only one get/put at a time.
 - If B is empty, consumers wait.
 - If B is full, producers wait.

Bounded Buffer Problem, Continued

- What about three semaphores?
 - One to guarantee one-at-a-time access.
- One to make producers wait if B is full so, it should be zero if B is full —
 "number of empty slots"?
- One to make consumers wait if B is empty so, it should be zero if B is empty — "number of slots in use"?

Bounded Buffer Problem — Solution

Shared variables:

Slide 15

Slide 16

```
buffer B(N); // empty, capacity N
   semaphore mutex(1);
   semaphore empty(N);
   semaphore full(0);
Pseudocode for producer:
                          Pseudocode for consumer:
while (true) {
                          while (true) {
   item = generate();
                             down(full);
   down(empty);
                              down(mutex);
   down(mutex);
                              item = get(B);
   put(item, B);
                              up(mutex);
   up(mutex);
                              up(empty);
   up(full);
                              use(item);
```

Minute Essay

Alleged joke (from some random Usenet person):

A man's P should exceed his V else what's a sema for?

Do you understand this? (Remember that P is "down" and V is "up".)

• Anything else unclear?

Slide 14

Slide 13