Administrivia

• Homework 2 coming soon, probably before next class. I'll send e-mail if/when.

Slide 1

Review — Invariants and Concurrent Algorithms

- Last time we talked about notion of "invariant" being helpful in thinking about concurrent algorithms.
- Loosely speaking "something about the program that's always true".
 More carefully: a statement about program variables/state such that:
 - It's true initially.
 - If it's true before any statement of the program, it's still true afterwards.
 Verify by first looking at initial state, then at everything in the program that

Verify by first looking at initial state, then at everything in the program that changes variables/states mentioned in the invariant.

- Goal is to come up with an invariant that's not too difficult to verify by looking at the code and implies the property you want — as with loop invariants as discussed in CSCI 1323 and last time.
- We're doing this informally (not very rigorously, with some hand-waving), but it can be done much more formally and rigorously.

Mutual Exclusion, Continued

- Recall problem ...
- Recall description of "test and set lock" (TSL) instruction:

```
TSL registerX, lockVar
```

(1) copies lockVar to registerX and (2) sets lockVar to non-zero, all as one atomic operation.

Slide 3

Proposed Solution Using TSL Instruction

• Shared variables:

```
int lock = 0;
```

Pseudocode for each process:

while (true) { enter_cr(); do_cr(); leave_cr(); do_non_cr(); }

Assembly-language routines:

```
enter_cr:
    TSL regX, lock
    compare regX with 0
    if not equal
        jump to enter_cr
    return
leave_cr:
    store 0 in lock
    return
```

• Does it work?

Solution Using TSL Instruction, Continued

- Proposed invariant: "lock is 0 exactly when no processes in their critical regions, and nonzero exactly when one process in its critical region."
- Invariant holds.

Slide 5

This means first requirement is met. Others met too — well, except that it might be "unfair" (some process waits forever).

Mutual Exclusion Solutions So Far

- Solutions so far have some problems: inefficient, dependent on whether scheduler/etc. guarantees fairness.
 - (It's worth noting too that for the simple ones needing no special hardware e.g., Peterson's algorithm whether they work on real hardware may depend on whether values "written" to memory are actually written right away or cached.)
- Also, they're very low-level, so might be hard to use for more complicated problems.
- So, people have proposed various "synchronization mechanisms" . . .

Semaphores

- History 1965 paper by Dijkstra (possibly earlier work by Iverson).
- Idea define semaphore ADT:
 - "Value" non-negative integer.
 - Two operations, both atomic:
 - * up (V) add one to value.
 - $\ast\,$ down (P) block until value is nonzero, then subtract one.
- Ignoring for now how to implement this is it useful?

Mutual Exclusion Using Semaphores

• Shared variables:

```
semaphore S(1);
```

Pseudocode for each process:

```
while (true) {
    down(S);
    do_cr();
    up(S);
    do_non_cr();
}
```

Invariant: "S has value 1 exactly when no process in its critical region, 0
exactly when one process in its critical region, and never has values other
than 0 or 1."

Slide 7

Mutual Exclusion Using Semaphores, Continued

Invariant again: "S has value 1 exactly when no process in its critical region, 0
exactly when one process in its critical region, and never has values other
than 0 or 1."

Obvious (?) that this means first requirement is met. Can check that others are met too.

Slide 9

Bounded Buffer Problem

- (Example of slightly more complicated synchronization needs.)
- Idea we have a buffer of fixed size (e.g., an array), with some processes ("producers") putting things in and others ("consumers") taking things out. Synchronization:

Slide 10 – Only one process at a time can access buffer.

- Producers wait if buffer is full.
- Consumers wait if buffer is empty.
- Example of use: print spooling (producers are jobs that print, consumer is printer actually could imagine having multiple printers/consumers).

Slide 11

Bounded Buffer Problem, Continued

• Shared variables:

```
buffer B(N); // initially empty, can hold N things
```

Pseudocode for producer:

Pseudocode for consumer:

```
while (true) {
   item = generate();
   put(item, B);
}
while (true) {
   item = get(B);
   use(item);
}
```

- Synchronization requirements:
 - 1. At most one process at a time accessing buffer.
 - 2. Never try to get from an empty buffer or put to a full one.
 - 3. Processes only block if they "have to".

Bounded Buffer Problem, Continued

- We already know how to guarantee one-at-a-time access. Can we extend that?
- Three situations where we want a process to wait:
 - Only one get/put at a time.
 - If B is empty, consumers wait.
 - If B is full, producers wait.

Slide 13

Bounded Buffer Problem, Continued

- What about three semaphores?
 - One to guarantee one-at-a-time access.
 - One to make producers wait if B is full so, it should be zero if B is full "number of empty slots"?
 - One to make consumers wait if B is empty so, it should be zero if B is empty — "number of slots in use"?

Bounded Buffer Problem — Solution

• Shared variables:

```
buffer B(N); // empty, capacity N
semaphore mutex(1);
semaphore empty(N);
semaphore full(0);
```

Slide 14

Pseudocode for producer: Pseudocode for consumer:

```
while (true) {
    item = generate();
    down(empty);
    down(mutex);
    item = get(B);
    put(item, B);
    up(mutex);
    up(full);
    up(full);
    while (true) {
        down(full);
        item = get(B);
        up(mutex);
        up(empty);
        use(item);
}
```

Minute Essay

• Alleged joke (from some random Usenet person):

A man's P should exceed his V else what's a sema for?

Do you understand this? (Remember that P is "down" and V is "up".)

Slide 15

Minute Essay Answer

It's a pun. The idea is roughly that if you never have a situation in which
you've attempted more "down" operations than "up" operations, you didn't
need a semaphore. (Or that's what I think it means. The author might have
another idea!)