



- Disadvantages they can't share data, switching between them is expensive ("a lot of state" to save/restore).
- For some applications, might be nice to have something that implements the abstract process idea but allows sharing data and faster context switching "threads".

Slide 2



Slide 3

Slide 4

Chreads, Continued
Advantages: threads can share data (same address space), switching from thread to thread is fairly fast.
Disadvantages: sharing data has its hazards (more about this later).



Implementing Threads, Continued
Implementing in user space is likely more efficient — fewer system calls.
Implementing in kernel space avoids some problems, though:

If a thread blocks, it may do so in a way that blocks the whole process.
Preemptive multitasking is difficult/impossible without help from the kernel, as is using multiple CPUs.

Slide 6



Implementing Threads, Example — Linux
Early versions of Linux provided no support for kernel-space threading, but there were libraries for the user-space version.
More-recent kernels provide support, but in an interesting way — threads in some ways are just processes with with some different flags allowing them to share memory, etc.
Adding support for threads complicates process creation — the basic mechanism (fork) duplicates an existing process, and if that process is multithreaded, things can be interesting. Some details in chapter 10, or read the POSIX standard for fork.

Slide 8

