Administrivia

• (Review minute essay from last time.)

Slide 1

Another Synchronization Mechanism — Monitors

- History Hoare (1975) and Brinch Hansen (1975).
- Idea combine synchronization and object-oriented paradigm.
- A monitor consists of
 - Data for a shared object (and initial values).
 - Procedures only one at a time can run.
- "Condition variable" ADT allows us to wait for specified conditions (e.g., buffer not empty):
 - Value queue of suspended processes.
 - Operations:
 - $\ast\,$ Wait suspend execution (and release mutual exclusion).
 - * Signal if there are processes suspended, allow one to continue. (if not, signal is "lost"). Some choices about whether signalling process continues, or signalled process awakens right away.

Bounded Buffer Problem, Revisited

- Define a bounded_buffer monitor with a queue and insert and remove procedures.
- Shared variables:

Slide 3

Bounded-Buffer Monitor

• Data:

• Procedures:

• Does this work? (Yes.)

```
buffer B(N); // N constant, buffer empty
int count = 0;
condition full;
condition empty;
```

```
insert(item itm) {
    if (count == N)
        wait(full);
    put(itm, B);
    count += 1;
    signal(empty);
}

remove(item &itm) {
    if (count == 0)
        wait(empty);
    itm = get(B);
    count -= 1;
    signal(full);
}
```

Implementing Monitors

- Requires compiler support, so more difficult to implement than (e.g.) semaphores.
- Java's methods for thread synchronization are based on monitors ...

Slide 5

Java's Adaptation of the Monitor Idea

- Data for monitor is instance variables (data for class).
- Procedures for monitor are synchronized methods/blocks mutual exclusion provided by implicit object lock.
- \bullet wait, notify, notifyAll methods.
- No condition variables, but above methods provide more or less equivalent functionality.

Note that the language specs for Java allow spurious wake-ups. So "best practice" is to wait () in a loop, re-checking the desired condition. The textbook's bounded-buffer code doesn't do this (?!).

Yet Another Synchronization Mechanism — Message Passing

 Previous synchronization mechanisms all involve shared variables; okay in some circumstances but not very feasible in others (e.g., multiple-processor system without shared memory).

Slide 7

- Idea of message passing each process has a unique ID; two basic operations:
 - Send specify destination ID, data to send (message).
 - Receive specify source ID, buffer to hold received data. Usually some way to let source ID be "any".

Message Passing, Continued

- Exact specifications can vary, but typical assumptions include:
 - Sending a message never blocks a process (more difficult to implement but easier to work with).
 - Receiving a message blocks a process until there is a message to receive.

- All messages sent are eventually available to receive (can be non-trivial to implement).
- Messages from process A to process B arrive in the order in which they were sent.

Implementing Message Passing

- On a machine with no physically shared memory (e.g., multicomputer), must send messages across interconnection network.
- On a machine with physically shared memory, can either copy (from address space to address space) or somehow be clever.

Slide 9

Mutual Exclusion, Revisited

- How to solve mutual exclusion problem with message passing?
- Several approaches based on idea of a single "token"; process must "have the token" to enter its critical region.
 - (I.e., desired invariant is "only one token in the system, and if a process is in its critical region it has the token.")
- One such approach a "master process" that all other processes communicate with; simple but can be a bottleneck.
- Another such approach ring of "server processes", one for each "client process", token circulates.

Mutual Exclusion With Message-Passing (1)

• Idea — have "master process" (centralized control).

Pseudocode for client process:

Pseudocode for master process:

```
bool have_token = true;
queue waitQ;
while (true) {
   receive(ANY, &msg);
   if (msg == "request") {
      if (have_token) {
            send(msg.sender, "token");
            have_token = false;
      }
      else
            enqueue(sender, waitQ);
   }
   else { // assume "token"
      if (empty(waitQ))
            have_token = true;
      else {
            p = dequeue(waitQ);
            send(p, "token");
      }
   }
}
```

Slide 11

Mutual Exclusion With Message-Passing (2)

• Idea — ring of servers, one for each client.

Pseudocode for client process:

Pseudocode for server process:

```
bool need_token = false;
if (my_id == first)
    send(next_server, "token");
while (true) {
    receive(ANY, &msg);
    if (msg == "request")
        need_token = true;
    else { // assume "token"
        if (msg.sender == my_client) {
            need_token = false;
            send(next_server, "token");
        }
    else if (need_token)
        send(my_client, "token");
    else
        send(next_server, "token");
}
```

Synchronization Mechanisms — Recap

- Low-level ways of synchronizing using shared variables only, using TSL instruction.
- Higher-level mechanisms semaphores, monitors, message passing. Often built using something lower-level.

Slide 13

Minute Essay

• Can you think of any reason to use message passing on a shared-memory system?

Minute Essay Answer

One reason might be that the programming model is in in some ways simpler.
 Another might be a desire to write code that will run on either a shared-memory system or a cluster of machines not sharing memory.