Administrivia

- Lecture notes/slides available on the Web after class (eventually).
- Reading assignments on “Lecture notes and assignments” page.

Hardware for Parallel Computing — Overview

- Hardware for sequential computing pretty much all builds on the same model — “von Neumann architecture”.
- Hardware for parallel computing is more diverse. Some major categories:
  - SIMD / vector architectures.
  - MIMD with shared memory.
  - MIMD with distributed memory.
- All of these have a long history, going back to early days of computing (1960-something).
SIMD Architectures

- Basic idea sort of implied by name (Single Instruction, Multiple Data) — many identical arithmetic units all executing the same instruction stream in lockstep (via single control unit), each on its own data. Can have separate memory for each AU or all can share.
- Vector processor — addition(s) to CPU meant to speed up operations on arrays (vectors) by using pipelining and/or multiple AUs. Can be thought of as a special case of (pipelined) SIMD.
- Both used more widely in early supercomputers than now, except in special-purpose hardware.

MIMD Architectures

- Again, basic idea implied by name (Multiple Instruction, Multiple Data) — many processors, each executing its own stream of instructions on its own data.
- Category is broad enough, and popular enough, to consider two subcategories (shared and distributed memory).
Shared-Memory MIMD Architectures

- Basic idea here — multiple processors, all with access to a common (shared) memory.
- Details of access to shared memory vary — shared bus versus crossbar switch, management of caches, etc. Textbook for CSCI 2321 has (some) details. Access to memory can be “constant-time” (SMP) or can vary (ccNUMA).
- Attractive from programming point of view, but not very scalable.
- Many, many examples, from early mainframes to dual-processor PCs to multicore chips.
- Conceptually, each processor has access to all memory locations via normal memory-access instructions (e.g., load/store). Convenient, but has some potential drawbacks (“race conditions”). Hardware and/or programming environment must provide “synchronization mechanism(s)”.

Distributed-Memory MIMD Architectures

- Basic idea here — multiple processors, each with its own memory, communicating via some sort of interconnect network.
- Details of interconnect network vary — can be custom-built “backplane” or standard network. Various “topologies” possible. Textbook for CSCI 2321 has (some) details.
- Not initially as attractive from a programming point of view, but very scalable.
- Examples include “massively parallel” supercomputers, Beowulf clusters, networks of PCs/workstations, etc.
- Conceptually, each processor has access only to its own memory via normal memory-access instructions (e.g., load/store). Communication between processors is via “message-passing” (details depending on type of interconnect network). Not so convenient, but much less potential for race conditions.
“Parallel Hardware is Becoming Mainstream”?

- It’s been an article of faith for a long time that eventually we’d hit physical limits on speed of single CPUs. Still, interpretation of Moore’s law as “CPU speed doubles every 1.5 years” seems to be holding up.
- But — strictly speaking, Moore’s law says that the number of transistors that can be placed on a die doubles every 1.5 years.
- Historically that has meant — more or less — doubling speed and memory size. May cease to do so soon — tricks hardware designers use to get more speed require higher power density, generate more heat, etc.
- So, what to do with all those transistors? Provide hardware support for parallelism! current buzzphrases are “multicore chip” and “Hyper Threading”.

One Approach — Multicore Chips

- Key idea here — chip includes several (usually two or four) “cores”, all sharing one connection to memory.
- Each “core” is a CPU in the sense we talk about in Computer Design; each typically has its own first-level cache.
- To fully exploit this for a single application, probably need multiple threads.
Another Approach — Hyper Threading

- Key idea here — chip includes hardware support for having more than one thread at a time “active”, but strictly speaking only a single processing core. Replicated components include program counter, ALU.
- What this allows is very fine-grained multithreading (“more than one logical CPU”), which can hide latency.
- To fully exploit this for a single application, probably need multiple threads.

“Parallel Hardware is Becoming Mainstream”?,
Continued

- In addition to hardware support for shared-memory parallelism — Ubiquity of networking makes almost any PC part of a “cluster”.
Programming Models

- Two broad categories of currently popular hardware (shared-memory MIMD and distributed-memory MIMD).
- Analogously, two basic programming models: shared memory and message passing. Obviously shared-memory model works well with shared-memory hardware, etc., but can also do message-passing on shared-memory hardware, or (with more difficulty) emulated shared memory on distributed-memory hardware.

Programming Environments

- So, do you need a special language for parallel programming, or what? Many choices (see Table 2.1 in book); broad categories are as discussed last time:
  - Parallelizing compilers (not very feasible, it appears).
  - Languages with built-in support.
  - Extensions to sequential languages.
  - Libraries to be called from sequential languages.
- We chose three representative environments — Java, OpenMP, and MPI. More next time . . .
Minute Essay

- Have you taken / are you taking CSCI 2321 (Computer Design)? How much do you remember from it?