Administrivia

- Remember to check the “Lecture topics and assignments” page for a link to Homework 1.

A Bit More about Virtual Machine Operating Systems

- An update to last time’s discussion of VM/370: Its successors (VM/ESA, zVM) are still in use, and now sometimes used to run hundreds of Linux servers on an IBM mainframe system (!).
- An interesting open-source virtual machine project is Mac-on-Linux.

Process Abstraction, Review

- We want o/s to manage “things happening at the same time”—applications, hidden tasks such as managing a device, etc.
- Key abstraction for this—“process”—program plus associated data, including program counter.
- True concurrency (“at the same time”) requires more than one CPU. We can get apparent concurrency via interleaving (as discussed last time)—model one virtual CPU per process and have the real CPU switch back and forth among them.
- Switching from one process to another—“context switch”: Goal is to suspend work on a process such that we can later pick up where we left off—so we have to save CPU state (program counter, registers, etc.) for the current process and replace it with previously-saved state for the next process.

Process Abstraction, Continued

- Can also associate with process an “address space”—range of addresses the program can use. Simplifying a little, this is “virtual memory” (like the virtual CPU) that only this process can use.
Process Creation and Termination

- When are processes created?
  - At system startup.
  - When another process makes a "create process" system call — e.g., to start a new application.

- When are processes destroyed?
  - At program exit.
  - After some kinds of errors.
  - When another process makes a "kill process" system call.

Process States

- Can think of processes as being in one of three states:
  - "Running" — being executed by the CPU.
  - "Blocked" — waiting for something to happen (I/O to complete, another process to do something, etc.) and can't do anything useful until it does.
  - "Ready" — not blocked, but waiting because another process is currently running on the CPU.

- Possible transitions:
  - Running to blocked — happens when, e.g., a process makes an I/O request and can't continue until it's complete.
  - Blocked to ready — happens when the event the blocked process is waiting for occurs.
  - Running to ready, ready to running — needed if we want some sort of time-sharing (give all non-blocked processes "a turn" frequently).

Process States, Continued

- Notice that moving to and from "blocked" state doesn't involve decision-making, but ready/running transitions do.

- The decision-maker — "scheduler" (to be discussed later). Often "running to ready" is triggered by an interrupt (I/O, timer, etc.), and "ready to running" involves this scheduler.

Implementing Processes

- Think about how you would implement this abstraction …

- First, you'd want a data structure to represent each process, to include:
  - Process ID.
  - Process state (running / ready / blocked).
  - Information needed for context switch — a place to save program counter, registers, etc.
  - Other stuff as needed — a list of open files, e.g.

- Then you'd collect these into a table or something — "process control table", and individual data structures are "entries in the process control table" or "process control blocks".
Interrupt Handling, Revisited, Part 1

- When an interrupt occurs, the hardware:
  - Saves a little about the current process (program counter at least) in an agreed-upon location, e.g., on stack.
  - Transfers control to fixed location — could be always the same location, or one of several depending on the type of interrupt.

Interrupt Handling, Revisited, Part 2

- Code at fixed location is an “interrupt handler”, which:
  - Saves enough of the CPU’s current state to enable later restart, usually in current process’s process control block.
  - Handles the interrupt, but minimally — saves data that could be lost (e.g., in device’s input buffer), marks blocked processes ready if appropriate.
  - Invokes scheduler to decide which process to run next.
  - Restores saved CPU state for this next process (from its process control block), causing it to resume execution.
- Other interrupts may be “disabled” during this processing.

Processes Versus Threads

- So far I’ve used “process” in an abstract/general way.
- In typical implementations, though, “process” is more specific — something that has its own address space, list of open files, etc. (I’ll call these “heavyweight processes.”)
  - Advantages — such processes don’t interfere with each other.
  - Disadvantages — they can’t share data, switching between them is expensive (“a lot of state” to save/restore).
- For some applications, might be nice to have something that implements the abstract process idea but allows sharing data and faster context switching — “threads”.

Threads

- So, threads are another way to implement the process abstraction.
- Typically, a thread is “owned” by a (heavyweight) process, and all threads owned by a process share some of its state — address space, list of open files.
- However, each thread has a “virtual CPU” (a distinct copy of registers, including program counter).
  - Advantages? threads can share data (same address space), switching from thread to thread is fairly fast.
  - Disadvantages? sharing data has its hazards (more about this later).
- Implementation involves data structures similar to process table.
Implementing Threads

- Two basic approaches — "in user space" and "in kernel space" (next two slides).
- Various hybrid schemes also possible.

Implementing Threads “In User Space”

- Basic idea — operating system thinks it’s managing single-threaded processes, all the work of managing multiple threads happens via library calls within each process.
- Advantages? fewer system calls, hence probably more efficient.
- Disadvantages?
  - If a thread blocks, it may do so in a way that blocks the whole process.
  - Preemptive multitasking is difficult/impossible.
  - Using multiple CPUs is difficult/impossible.

Implementing Threads “In Kernel Space”

- Basic idea — operating system is involved in managing threads, the work of managing multiple threads happens via system calls (rather than user-level library calls).
- Advantages? avoids the difficulties of implementing in user space (previous slide).
- Disadvantages? probably less efficient.

Example Implementations

- Unix systems vary as to which they use (see chapter 10 for more info). Until recently Linux did kernel-space threading, but allegedly with some tweaks to make it more efficient. There have been some changes in the latest version ...
- Windows NT/2000 apparently is such that all processes have at least one thread, and the basic scheme is either kernel-space or a hybrid (see chapter 11 for more info).
Minute Essay

- In a system with 8 CPUs and 100 processes, what's the maximum number of processes that can be running? ready? blocked?
- Is there anything today that was totally unclear?